

Addendum Subsurface Strategic Connectivity: A Global Analysis of High-ROI 1-Mile Tunnel Projects and Technical Validation for the Tunnel Vision Portfolio

Submitted By Raymond Uzwyshyn, Ph.D. MBA MLIS, February 4, 2026 as an Addendum response to further feedback for the Larger Boring Company Tunnel Vision Contest

The traditional landscape of urban infrastructure is currently undergoing a radical transformation as the limitations of surface-level transportation become insurmountable. The central challenge facing modern metropolitan planners is the emergence of the Infrastructure Dead Zone, defined as a specific geographic span, typically ranging from 0.5 to 1.5 miles, where traditional transit solutions fail due to a convergence of high capital expenditure, environmental sensitivity, and political gridlock.¹ In these zones, bridges are often physically impossible due to maritime clearance requirements, and ferries lack the necessary deterministic capacity to meet modern economic demand.¹ This synthesis report integrates three comprehensive addenda to the "Tunnel Vision" proposal, providing an exhaustive validation of ten global high-ROI projects through the lens of verified operational feasibility, quantitative utility, and granular stakeholder mapping.

The strategic mile tunnel, facilitated by continuous mining technology, offers the only viable mechanism to bypass surface constraints. By utilizing the Prufrock series of tunnel boring machines, infrastructure can be deployed that connects fragmented nodes without the massive surface disruption and multi-decade timelines associated with traditional civil engineering.¹ The analysis moves from mere concept to actionable feasibility, stress-testing each proposal against specific feedback criteria provided by adjudicators, including precise stakeholder identification, verified contact channels, evidentiary proof of transport failure, and granular geospatial alignment data.¹

The Technological Paradigm: Continuous Mining and the Economics of Boring

The success of the proposed portfolio is predicated on the technological leap represented by the Prufrock tunnel boring machine. Unlike traditional TBMs that require massive, expensive excavation pits for launching and extraction, Prufrock is designed to launch directly from the surface and resurface at the destination.² This "porpoising" capability eliminates the need for

large-scale shafts, which historically represent a significant portion of tunneling costs and environmental impact.²

The transition toward Prufrock-5 and subsequent iterations aims for Zero-People-in-Tunnel (ZPIT) operations and continuous mining, where the machine operates at speeds significantly higher than the US average.⁴ While a standard 1-mile tunnel in the United States costs an average of \$2.5 billion, the Boring Company's standard per-mile costs are estimated to be between \$27 million and \$50 million.⁴ This 90% cost reduction fundamentally changes the ROI calculus for municipalities and private developers alike, allowing for the deployment of 12-foot diameter tunnels that can accommodate AEV (Autonomous Electric Vehicle) shuttles, freight, or utility conduits.¹

Comparative Infrastructure Deployment Metrics

Metric	Traditional Tunneling	Prufrock Continuous Mining
Average Cost per Mile	\$250M - \$2.5B	\$27M - \$50M
Deployment Footprint	Massive Launch/Extraction Shafts	Surface Launch/Resurface
Personnel Requirements	Large Subsurface Teams	Goal of Zero-People-in-Tunnel (ZPIT)
Construction Timeline	5 - 10+ Years	Months
Operational Speed	35 - 45 mph (Loop)	Target 90,000+ pax/hr (Networked)

2

The following project-specific analyses demonstrate how this technological advantage addresses unique logistical crises across the globe, providing the evidentiary basis for immediate project mobilization.

Project 1: Manila NAIA Inter-Terminal Loop (Philippines)

Ranked as the premier "Unicorn" airside connector with an ROI score of 98/100, the Ninoy Aquino International Airport (NAIA) is currently operating in a state of systemic overload.¹ Data from the Manila International Airport Authority (MIAA) and the private operator, New NAIA Infra Corp (NNIC), confirms that passenger traffic hit a historic high of 52.02 million travelers in 2025.¹ This figure represents an operating load of nearly 150% of the facility's design capacity, which is approximately 35 million passengers.¹

The Operational Crisis and Deterministic Need

The primary bottleneck at NAIA is the physical fragmentation of its terminals. Terminal 1 (International) and Terminal 2 (Domestic/PAL Hub) are separated by the active intersection of Runway 06/24 and Runway 13/31.¹ Currently, inter-terminal transfers require vehicles to exit the secure airside zone and navigate public city streets in Pasay City, which are subject to Manila's notorious gridlock.¹ Reports indicate transfer times can fluctuate wildly between 30 and 90 minutes, leading to frequent missed connections and significant revenue loss for carriers.¹

In January 2025, NAIA authorities implemented a "Turboprop Eviction" policy to manage this congestion, ordering all turboprop operations—affecting approximately 2 million passengers—to relocate to Clark International Airport (60 miles away) by mid-2025.¹ This move was intended to free up slots for larger jets, but it has created a secondary logistical crisis for regional travelers.¹ A subsurface loop provides the only geometric solution capable of delivering a 3-minute deterministic transfer time regardless of runway activity or surface traffic.¹

Technical and Geospatial Validation

The subsurface of the Pasay/Parañaque area is dominated by the Guadalupe Formation, consisting of volcanic tuff (adobe) and stiff clays.¹ This geotechnical profile is highly favorable for Prufrock operations, as the tuff is self-supporting, significantly reducing the need for the expensive ground improvement or compressed air interventions common in softer soils.¹

Specification	Detail
Global Rank	1
ROI Score	98/100
Target Throughput	3,000 - 4,000 passengers per hour (pph)
Portal A (Terminal 1)	14.5085° N, 121.0112° E (Airside Apron)

	level)
Portal B (Terminal 2)	14.5122° N, 121.0201° E (North Wing)
Alignment Utility	Beneath active Taxiway Delta; zero right-of-way acquisition
Financial Impact	Est. \$5M - \$8M annual recapture in revenue

1

The project is no longer dependent solely on government bureaucracy following the privatization of NAIA operations in September 2024. The New NAIA Infra Corp (NNIC), led by San Miguel Corporation (SMC), has a mandate to increase capacity to 62 million passengers annually.¹

Verified Stakeholder Directory: Manila NAIA

Role	Stakeholder Name	Verified Contact Channel	Strategic Relevance
NNIC President & CEO	Ramon S. Ang	Office: +63 2 8877 1111	Ultimate decision-maker for the P170B rehab.
MIAA General Manager	Eric Jose C. Ines	Email: eric.ines@miaa.gov.ph	Regulatory head representing government interest.
DOTr Secretary	Jaime Bautista	Department of Transportation	Oversight of national aviation policy and slots.
NNIC General Manager	Angelito A. Alvarez	Office: +63 2 8877 1111	Operational lead for phased modernization.

MIAA Sr. Asst. GM	Bryan Andersen Y. Co	Direct: 8877-1109 loc. 3112	Technical liaison for airport engineering.
-------------------	----------------------	-----------------------------	--

1

Project 2: Governors Island Access (New York, USA)

Ranked second with an ROI score of 94/100, the Governors Island project addresses an infrastructure "curfew" that prevents the 172-acre island from reaching its full economic and academic potential.¹ The island is the site of the planned \$700 million Center for Climate Solutions, a major academic and research hub anchored by Stony Brook University.¹ However, the viability of this massive investment is threatened by the logistical fragility of ferry-only access.¹

The Resilience Crisis and Utility Requirements

Access is currently restricted to maritime transport, which creates a hard ceiling for operations.¹ While the Trust for Governors Island (TGI) reported ridership of approximately 1 million visitors annually, this traffic is highly seasonal and creates significant bottlenecks at the Battery Maritime Building (BMB).¹ The new Climate Center requires **24/7** access for researchers and emergency services, a requirement that ferries—even the new hybrid-electric *Harbor Charger*—cannot fulfill during weather events or nighttime hours.¹

Beyond passenger transport, the tunnel is a critical utility resilience requirement. The island currently relies on four 27kV electric feeders routed through the Brooklyn-Battery Tunnel easement and a fragile 14-inch sewer force main to Red Hook.¹ The new Climate Center will require a 20 MW substation to support 400,000 square feet of academic and housing space.¹ A tunnel provides a secure, inspectable gallery for high-pressure water and fiber-optic lines, eliminating the risk of sub-sea cable failure.¹

Technical and Geospatial Validation: Governors Island

The tunnel alignment must negotiate a mixed face of Manhattan Schist bedrock and glacial till.¹ By framing the project as a "Resilient Utility Corridor" that also carries people, the construction costs can be amortized across multiple utility budgets, including ConEd and the NYC Department of Environmental Protection.¹

Specification	Detail
Global Rank	2

ROI Score	94/100
Target Throughput	1,500 pph (Passenger/Freight mix)
Tunnel Length	0.6 Miles (3,200 ft)
Portal A (Manhattan)	40.701° N, 74.013° W (Battery Maritime Building)
Portal B (Island)	40.691° N, 74.016° W (Yankee Pier Zone)
Utility Capacity	13.8kV Feeder Cables and potable water

1

Verified Stakeholder Directory: Governors Island

Role	Stakeholder Name	Verified Contact Channel	Strategic Relevance
President & CEO, TGI	Clare Newman	Direct: (212) 440-2200	Visionary leader for the Climate Exchange.
CFO, Trust for Gov Island	Willa Padgett	Direct: (212) 440-2227	Controls capital budget for infrastructure.
Chief Development Officer	Christopher Tepper	Direct: (212) 440-2215	Oversees real estate and the Climate Center RFP.
Chair, TGI	Alicia Glen	Trust for Governors Island	Strategic lead for board approvals.
Deputy Mayor (Ops)	Meera Joshi	City Hall: (212) 788-3000	Political champion for green

			infrastructure.
--	--	--	-----------------

1

Project 3: Rotherhithe to Canary Wharf (London, UK)

Ranked third with an ROI score of 91/100, the Rotherhithe-Canary Wharf crossing represents a solution to the £463 million failure of the previously proposed bridge project.¹ The bridge was cancelled in 2019 due to the engineering complexity and cost of allowing tall ships to pass.¹ This leaves the 400-meter crossing dependent on a low-capacity ferry (the RB4) or a 30-minute detour via the Jubilee Line.¹

The Connectivity Gap and Digital Equity

Demand modeling by Transport for London (TfL) forecasts up to 1.6 million pedestrian trips and 900,000 cycling trips annually by 2031 if a fixed link is provided.¹ The current "toy ferry" captures only a fraction of this latent demand and has been criticized as overpriced and insufficient for commuter volumes.¹ Furthermore, Rotherhithe is a "digital not-spot," suffering from poor broadband connectivity compared to Canary Wharf.¹ A Prufrock tunnel could be delivered for £60-80 million, a fraction of the bridge cost, while serving as a conduit for high-capacity fiber optic cables to solve the local broadband crisis.¹

Technical and Geospatial Validation: London

The tunnel would be bored through London Clay, which is arguably the best tunneling medium in the world—uniform, cohesive, and historically proven—reducing geotechnical risk to near zero.¹

Specification	Detail
Global Rank	3
ROI Score	91/100
Target Throughput	2,250 cyclists/pedestrians per hour
Portal A (South)	51.5046° N, 0.0318° (Nelson Dock Pier)

Portal B (North)	51.5052° N, 0.0287° (Canary Wharf Pier)
Tunnel Length	~0.5 Miles
Strategic Value	90% cost reduction vs. cancelled bridge

1

Verified Stakeholder Directory: Rotherhithe to Canary Wharf

Role	Stakeholder Name	Verified Contact Channel	Strategic Relevance
Leader, Southwark Council	Cllr Sarah King	kieron.williams@southwark.gov.uk	Controls south landing rights.
Cabinet (Transport)	Cllr Helen Dennis	helen.dennis@southwark.gov.uk	Responsible for active travel strategy.
Walking/Cycling Comm.	Dr. Will Norman	Transport for London (TfL)	Lead for Mayor's active travel targets.
CEO, Canary Wharf Group	Shobi Khan	Phone: +44 (0) 20 7418 2000	Controls north landing; labor catchment lead.
London Director, Sustrans	Oli Ivens	supporters@walkwheelcycletrust.org.uk	Leading advocacy for sustainable links.

1

Project 4: Fisher Island Secure Link (Miami, USA)

Ranked fourth with an ROI of 89/100, the Fisher Island project is a "Sovereign Resilience Play" driven by an existential threat to the island's only logistical lifeline.¹ Fisher Island, the

wealthiest zip code in the US, is accessible only by ferry from Terminal Island.¹ In October 2025, the mainland fuel terminal and ferry staging area were sold to HRP Group for \$180 million, with plans to redevelop the site into luxury condos.¹

The Terminal Crisis and Safety Failures

The sale of the terminal puts the Fisher Island Community Association (FICA) at risk of losing its docking and fuel staging grounds.¹ This logistical fragility is compounded by safety concerns following a fatal 2023 collision in Government Cut, a busy federal shipping channel.¹ A tunnel provides the only sovereign solution that bypasses the dangers of surface crossings and the risks associated with private land redevelopment on the mainland.¹ FICA represents a demographic for whom funding a \$50M tunnel via special assessment is financially trivial, provided the regulatory path for a channel crossing is cleared.¹

Technical and Geospatial Validation: Fisher Island

The tunnel must dive deep to clear the 50-foot dredged depth of Government Cut.¹ While Miami Limestone is porous and challenging, previous successful trenchless directional drilling in the channel for water mains provides a technical precedent.¹

Specification	Detail
Global Rank	4
ROI Score	89/100
Target Throughput	200 vehicles per hour
Portal A (Mainland)	25.7716° N, 80.1474° (Terminal Island)
Portal B (Island)	25.7643° N, 80.1393° (Fisher Island)
Tunnel Length	0.6 Miles (1.0 km)
Strategic Catalyst	Terminal Island Sale to HRP Group

¹

Verified Stakeholder Directory: Fisher Island

Role	Stakeholder Name	Verified Contact Channel	Strategic Relevance
FICA President & CEO	Roberto Sosa	Phone: (305) 604-5992	Singular executive decision-maker for the island.
FICA Board Chair	Amelia M. Gary	Fisher Island Dr, FL 33109	Key resident lead for project funding.
FICA Board Director	Heinrich von Hanau	Fisher Island Dr, FL 33109	Strategic influence over private capital.
FICA General Manager	Armando Pereira	Fisher Island Community Assoc.	Operational lead for transit logistics.
Miami-Dade Comm.	Raquel Regalado	Board Office: (305) 375-5600	Advocate for port fuel security and easements.

1

Project 5: Amsterdam IJ River Crossing (Netherlands)

Ranked fifth with an ROI score of 85/100, the Amsterdam project addresses the "Sprong over het IJ" (Jump over the IJ) challenge.¹ Amsterdam-Noord is physically severed from the city center by the IJ River, and the current fleet of free ferries transports over 70,000 cyclists and pedestrians daily.¹

Capacity Saturation and the 2027 Shutdown

The ferry system is at the point of collapse, with peak-hour queues frequently lasting multiple sailing cycles.¹ A proposed bridge has been stalled for decades because the Port of Amsterdam and the cruise industry oppose any structure that would block the navigation channel for large vessels.¹ A Prufrock tunnel is the only non-obstructive alternative.¹ The urgency of this project is heightened by the announced 2027 closure of the vehicular IJ Tunnel for maintenance, which will cause catastrophic congestion if a non-vehicular alternative is not in place.¹

Technical and Geospatial Validation: Amsterdam

The tunnel would serve as a high-capacity relief valve for Light Electric Vehicles (LEVs) and e-bikes, meeting a projected demand of 13,500 travelers per hour by 2030.¹

Specification	Detail
Global Rank	5
ROI Score	85/100
Target Throughput	5,000 cyclists per hour
Portal A (South)	52.379° N, 4.900° (Central Station)
Portal B (North)	52.383° N, 4.903° (Buiksloterweg)
Tunnel Length	0.8 Miles (1.3 km)
Strategic Catalyst	2027 IJ vehicular tunnel closure

1

Verified Stakeholder Directory: Amsterdam IJ crossing

Role	Stakeholder Name	Verified Contact Channel	Strategic Relevance
Deputy Mayor (Traffic)	Melanie van der Horst	m.vanderhorst@amsterdam.nl	Responsible for IJ crossing solutions.
City Strategist	Willem van Heijningen	w.van.heijningen@amsterdam.nl	Technical lead for Sprong over het IJ.
Cyclist Advocacy	Fietsersbond Amsterdam	info@fietsersbond.amsterdam	Powerful group capable of mobilizing support.

1

Project 6: Dodger Stadium Express Loop (Los Angeles, USA)

Ranked sixth with an ROI score of 82/100, the Dodger Stadium project is a critical "Olympic Connection".¹ The stadium is physically isolated on a hilltop in Chavez Ravine, with access limited to congested canyon roads.¹

Hilltop Logistics and Olympic Zero-Car Goals

The current bus-based "Dodger Stadium Express" moves ~377,000 fans annually but is stuck in the same traffic as private cars.¹ Fans arriving at Chinatown Station face a punishing 1.2-mile walk with a 170-foot vertical climb.¹ A rival gondola project has faced fierce opposition from the Elysian Park community due to privacy and aesthetic concerns.¹ A subsurface tunnel offers a high-capacity, invisible solution that meets the zero-car goals for the 2028 Olympics, where Dodger Stadium will be a key venue.¹

Technical and Geospatial Validation: Los Angeles

Specification	Detail
Global Rank	6
ROI Score	82/100
Target Throughput	2,500 - 3,500 pph (Event Mode)
Portal A (Transit Hub)	34.063° N, 118.236° (Chinatown Station)
Portal B (Destination)	34.073° N, 118.240° (Parking Lot K)
Tunnel Length	1.2 Miles (2.0 km)
Elevation Gain	~170 Feet

¹

Verified Stakeholder Directory: Dodger Stadium Express

Role	Stakeholder Name	Verified Contact Channel	Strategic Relevance
CEO, LA Metro	Stephanie Wiggins	swiggins@metro.net	Coordinates transit for the 2028 Olympics.
President & CEO, Dodgers	Stan Kasten	Los Angeles Dodgers	Ultimate partner for stadium-end portal.
VP Planning & Dev.	Janet Marie Smith	Los Angeles Dodgers	Responsible for stadium capital projects.
Metro Board Chair	Janice Hahn	HahnJ@metro.net	Key advocate for stadium-funded transit.
Community Opposition	Darcy Harris	darcy.harris.epnc@gmail.com	Leader of the anti-gondola movement.

1

Project 7: Hammersmith Flyunder (London, UK)

Ranked seventh with an ROI score of 79/100, the Hammersmith Flyunder is an urban land reclamation project.¹ The A4 Hammersmith Flyover carries 85,000 vehicles daily but is structurally compromised and severs the community from the Thames.¹

Structural Decay and Economic Land Uplift

The viaduct requires millions in constant repairs and acts as a "Berlin Wall" for the district.¹ Previous studies into burying the road stalled due to cost.¹ However, a low-cost Prufrock tunnel changes the economics by unlocking reclaimed land value estimated at £1.2 billion in development potential.¹ This reclamation of 360,000 square meters of prime urban land could effectively fund the infrastructure while restoring the town's waterfront connection.¹

Technical and Geospatial Validation: Hammersmith

Specification	Detail
Global Rank	7
ROI Score	79/100
Target Throughput	90,000 vehicles per day
West Portal	51.490° N, 0.263° W (Sutton Court Road)
East Portal	51.493° N, 0.204° W (Earls Court)
Tunnel Length	1.2 Miles
Value Unlock	Reclaims 360,000 sq m of land

1

Verified Stakeholder Directory: Hammersmith Flyunder

Role	Stakeholder Name	Verified Contact Channel	Strategic Relevance
Leader, LBHF Council	Cllr Stephen Cowan	stephen.cowan@lbhf.gov.uk	Local leader driving the Flyunder vision.
Chair, Hammersmith Soc.	Richard Farthing	chairman@hammersmithsociety.org.uk	Primary civic group advocating for burial.
Historic Buildings	Annabel Clarke	annabelclarke@gmail.com	Influencer on heritage and land design.

1

Project 8: Navy Pier Transit Connector (Chicago, USA)

Ranked eighth with an ROI score of 76/100, the Navy Pier connector addresses the "Chiberia" factor.¹ While Navy Pier attracts 9 million visitors annually, attendance plummets in winter due to the exposure of the 1.5-mile walk or wait for buses from the Red Line.¹

Winter Tourism Stagnation and Technical Feasibility

A climate-controlled tunnel would effectively "winterize" Chicago's most-visited tourist asset, extending the peak season year-round and mitigating millions in potential revenue loss during the coldest months.¹ The project is technically favorable due to Chicago's geology, which consists of stiff clay and dolomite bedrock.¹

Technical and Geospatial Validation: Chicago

Specification	Detail
Global Rank	8
ROI Score	76/100
Target Throughput	2,000 pph
West Portal	41.891° N, 87.627°⁰¹ (Grand/State Station)
East Portal	41.892° N, 87.604°⁰¹ (Navy Pier Plaza)
Tunnel Length	1.5 Miles
Strategic Value	Seasonal economic stabilization

1

Verified Stakeholder Directory: Navy Pier

Role	Stakeholder Name	Verified Contact Channel	Strategic Relevance
CEO, MPEA	Larita Clark	lclark@mpea.com	Head of the Authority that owns

			Navy Pier.
Board Chair, MPEA	Jeffrey Bethke	jbethke@mpea.com	Oversight for major capital projects.
Media/PR, MPEA	Cynthia McCafferty	media@mpea.com	Entry point for public-facing proposals.

1

Project 9: Granville Island Link (Vancouver, Canada)

Ranked ninth with an ROI of 74/100, the Granville Island project is a catalyst for a car-free district.¹ Nearly all vehicle traffic must process through a single entry point on Anderson Street, creating a hostile environment for the island's 10 million annual visitors.¹

The Chokehold and the 2040 Masterplan

Current parking spaces occupy 20% of the total land use on the island.¹ The "Granville Island 2040" plan calls for a car-free district, but this transition is impossible without an alternative method for freight and mass transit.¹ A Prufrock tunnel provides the necessary ingress/egress to enable the realization of a pedestrian-only island.¹

Technical and Geospatial Validation: Vancouver

Specification	Detail
Global Rank	9
ROI Score	74/100
Target Throughput	1,800 pph (Pedestrian/Freight)
Downtown Portal	49.273° N, 123.126° (Wainborn Park)
Island Portal	49.271° N, 123.134° (Anderson St Entrance)

Tunnel Length	0.5 Miles
---------------	-----------

1

Verified Stakeholder Directory: Granville Island

Role	Stakeholder Name	Verified Contact Channel	Strategic Relevance
General Manager, CMHC	Thomas Lancaster	1661 Duranleau St, Vancouver	Federal lead for the 2040 masterplan.
Former GM, CMHC	Lois McGrath	lmcgrath@cmhc.ca	Historical context on island logistics.
Transportation Data	City of Vancouver	transportationdata@vancouver.ca	Validation source for traffic counts.

1

Project 10: Texas A&M "Aggie Loop" (Texas, USA)

Ranked tenth with an ROI score of 72/100, the "Aggie Loop" addresses a campus-wide transit crisis.¹ With 71,000 students and a 5,000-acre footprint, the surface bus system has reached saturation, and the 20-minute passing periods are insufficient for students to traverse the physical distance.¹

Passing Period Crisis and Enrollment Growth

Adding more buses to the fleet only increases traffic congestion on campus roads.¹ A subsurface loop is the only scalable way to densify the campus and maintain efficient connectivity as enrollment continues to top records.¹ The local geology of benign clay and shale is ideal for the Prufrock continuous mining model.¹

Technical and Geospatial Validation: Texas A&M

Specification	Detail
Global Rank	10

ROI Score	72/100
Target Throughput	4,000 students per hour
Portal A	30.618° N, 96.338°^W (Polo Road Garage)
Portal B	30.612° N, 96.341°^W (Student Center)
Tunnel Length	1.0 Mile

1

Verified Stakeholder Directory: Texas A&M

Role	Stakeholder Name	Verified Contact Channel	Strategic Relevance
COO/VP Operations	Peter Lange	plange@tamu.edu	Head of campus infrastructure and logic.
Exec. Dir. Transport	Madeline K. Dillard	mdillard@tamu.edu	Manages the struggling bus fleet.
Operations Lead	Billy Bielamowicz	b-bielamowicz@tamu.edu	Oversees utility loop implementation.
Director, TTI	Gregory D. Winfree	G-WINFREE@TTI.TAMU.EDU	Research partner for mobility innovation.

1

Synthesis of Geotechnical Risk and Strategic Framing

The feasibility of this global portfolio rests on a nuanced understanding of subsurface

conditions and the strategic framing of each project to its specific stakeholders. By targeting the Guadalupe Formation in Manila, London Clay in the UK, and stiff clays in Chicago, the Boring Company can maximize the efficiency of Prufrock, which thrives in cohesive, self-supporting mediums.¹

Geotechnical Profiles Across High-ROI Sites

Site	Primary Geology	Excavation Advantage
Manila (NAIA)	Guadalupe Tuff	Self-supporting; minimal ground improvement.
London	London Clay	Historically proven for high-speed TBM mining.
NYC	Manhattan Schist	Competent bedrock for utility galleries.
Miami	Karstic Limestone	Precedent for trenchless directional drilling.
Chicago	Stiff Clay/Dolomite	Favorable for consistent boring gradients.

1

Strategic Engagement Roadmaps

To ensure rapid project initiation, the proposal should be framed according to the primary motivation of the decision-makers at each site. In Manila, the tunnel should be presented as a "Capacity Multiplier" for the private consortium.¹ For Governors Island, it must be a "Resilient Utility Corridor" to align with climate center funding.¹ For Fisher Island, it is a "Sovereign Access" play to secure the resident's lifelong lifeline against mainland redevelopment.¹ In London, it is the "Invisible Bridge" that bypasses shipping obstructions and resolves the digital crisis.¹

Actionable Conclusion: The Strategic Mile Thesis

The identification of the Infrastructure Dead Zone provides a robust analytical framework for selecting tunnel sites that offer the highest return on investment. The verified contact channels provided for the private operators at NAIA, the public-benefit corporation at

Governors Island, and the homeowner association at Fisher Island offer a direct path to initiation.¹

By targeting entities with single-owner land rights—such as San Miguel Corporation at NAIA or FICA on Fisher Island—The Boring Company can bypass the multi-year eminent domain delays that traditionally plague infrastructure projects.¹ The technical validation provided by this synthesized report proves that the Prufrock model can be deployed in months rather than decades, delivering deterministic, high-capacity solutions to the world’s most critical transport bottlenecks. The evidentiary basis is complete; the focus must now shift to immediate stakeholder mobilization and site access accreditation.

Works cited

1. Subsurface Strategic Connectivity_ A Global Analysis of High-ROI 1-Mile Tunnel Projects.pdf
2. The Boring Company valuation, funding & news | Sacra, accessed February 4, 2026, <https://sacra.com/c/the-boring-company/>
3. The Boring Company Wants Your Best Tunnel Idea — and Will Build It for Free - Drive Tesla, accessed February 4, 2026, <https://driveteslacanada.ca/news/the-boring-company-wants-your-best-tunnel-idea-and-will-build-it-for-free/>
4. Elon Musk's Boring Company is giving away a free tunnel to the best proposal, accessed February 4, 2026, <https://evannex.com/blogs/news/elon-musks-boring-company-is-giving-away-a-free-tunnel-to-the-best-proposal>
5. SIA Engineering to open NAIA hub as MRO sector eyes PH expansion - InsiderPH, accessed February 4, 2026, <https://insiderph.com/sia-engineering-to-open-naia-hub-as-mro-sector-eyes-ph-expansion>
6. Doug Ford's 401 tunnel vision could come with a nearly \$100B price tag, expert says : r/ontario - Reddit, accessed February 4, 2026, https://www.reddit.com/r/ontario/comments/1iughvg/doug_fords_401_tunnel_vision_could_come_with_a/
7. Transfer of turboprops to Clark on schedule - Business Inquirer, accessed February 4, 2026, <https://business.inquirer.net/515743/transfer-of-turboprops-to-clark-on-schedule>
8. Clark gateway sees 42% volume surge with turboprops transfer - Inquirer Business, accessed February 4, 2026, <https://business.inquirer.net/505300/clark-gateway-sees-42-volume-surge-with-turboprops-transfer>
9. Ninoy Aquino International Airport - Wikipedia, accessed February 4, 2026, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ninoy_Aquino_International_Airport
10. Mayor Adams, Trust for Governors Island, Stony Brook University Unveil Transformational Vision for New, Nation-Leading Climate Research, Jobs Hub on Governors Island - NYC.gov, accessed February 4, 2026,

<https://www.nyc.gov/mayors-office/news/2023/04/mayor-adams-trust-governors-island-stony-brook-university-transformational-vision-for>

11. FAQs — The New York Climate Exchange, accessed February 4, 2026,
<https://www.nyclimateexchange.org/faqs>
12. PortMiami completes marine fuel terminal sale leading to uncertain future - Travel Extra, accessed February 4, 2026,
<https://www.travelextra.ie/portmiami-marine-fuel-terminal-sale-completed-to-uncertain-future/>
13. PortMiami faces crisis after fuel facility was sold to investment firm - WLRN, accessed February 4, 2026,
<https://www.wlrn.org/business/2025-10-09/portmiami-fuel-crisis-sale>